# 1

# APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE Revised 4/99

IMPORTANT: Please consult the "Inst	tructions for Completing the I	Project Application" for	
assistance in completion of this form.			
SUBDIVISION: City of North Col	llege Hill C	ODE# <u>061-56322</u>	
DISTRICT NUMBER: 2 COUN	VTY: <u>Hamilton</u> DATE	08 / 30 / 07	
CONTACT: Jennifer L. Vatter	PHON	E#( <u>513)721-5500</u>	
(THE PROJECT CONTACT PERSON SHOULD BE THE INDIVIDU REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS AND WHO CAN BEST ANS	AL WHO WILL BE AVAILABLE ON A DAY-1	TO-DAY BASISDURING THE APPLICA	ATION
FAX_(513) 721-0607	E-MAIL <u>jvatter@jmac</u>	onsult.com	
PROJECT NAME: Norman Ave	nue Reconstruction		
SUBDIVISION TYPE   Check only 1   (Check only 1)   (Check All Rection 1)   (Check All Rection 2)   City   2. Lom   2. Lom   3. Lom   3. Lom   4. Village   5. Water/Sanitary District   (Section 6119 O.R.C.)	quested & Enter Amount) nt \$ 112,500.00 n \$ n Assistance \$		
TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$ 225,000.00	FUNDING REQ	UESTED: \$ <u>112,500.00</u>	
To be completed l	T RECOMMENDATION by the District Committee ON	LY	
GRANT:\$ <u>//2,500</u> SCIP LOAN: \$	LOAN ASSIS	STANCE:S	
SCIP LOAN: S	RATE:	% TERM:	vrs.
RLP LOAN: S	RATE:	% TERM: % TERM:	yrs.
(Check only 1) ≱ State Capital Improvement Program Local Transportation Improvements Program	Small Government Prog		c
			2007
FOR	OPWC USE ONLY		OUNTY SEP 21
PROJECT NUMBER: C /C Local Participation % DPWC Participation % Project Release Date: / / DPWC Approval:	APROVED FUNDING Loan Interest Rate: Loan Term: Maturity Date: Date Approved:/ SCIP Loan	% years	<u> </u>

1.0	PROJECT FINANCIAL INFOR	MATION	
1.1	PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS: (Round to Nearest Dollar)	TOTAL DOLLARS	FORCE ACCOUNT DOLLARS
a.)	Basic Engineering Services:	\$	
	Preliminary Design \$	00	
	Final Design \$	, 00	
	Bidding \$	. 00	
	Construction Phase \$	. 00	
	Additional Engineering Services	\$8	
	*Identify services and costs below.		
<b>b.</b> )	Acquisition Expenses:		
	Land and/or Right-of-Way	\$ <u>.00</u>	
c.)	Construction Costs:	\$ <u>225,000</u> .00	
<b>d.</b> )	Equipment Purchased Directly:	\$ <u>.00</u>	
e.)	Permits, Advertising, Legal: (Or Interest Costs for Loan Assistance Applications Only)	.00	
f.)	Construction Contingencies:	. <u></u>	
g.)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:	\$ <u>225,000</u> .00	
*List A	Additional Engineering Services here: e:	Cost:	

# 1.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES:

(Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

		DOLLARS	%
a.)	<b>Local In-Kind Contributions</b>	\$	
b.)	Local Revenues	\$ <u>112,500 .00</u>	<u>50</u>
c.)	Other Public Revenues ODOT Rural Development OEPA OWDA CDBG OTHER  SUBTOTAL LOCAL RESOURCES:	\$	_50
<b>d.</b> )	OPWC Funds 1. Grant 2. Loan 3. Loan Assistance	\$\frac{112,500 \ .00}{\$\text{S} \ .00}\$ \$\frac{.00}{.00}\$	<u>50</u>
	SUBTOTAL OPWC RESOURCES:	\$ <u>112,500</u> .00	<u>50</u>
	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES:	\$ <u>225,000</u> .00	100%

# 1.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS:

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local share</u> funds required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section.

ODOT PID# \_\_\_\_\_ Sale Date:

STATUS: (Check one)

Traditional

Local Planning Agency (LPA) State Infrastructure Bank

2.0		DJECT INFORMATION oject is multi-jurisdictional, information must be <u>consolidated</u> in this section.
2.1	PRO	OJECT NAME: Norman Avenue Reconstruction
2.2	BRI	EF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through C):
	A:	SPECIFIC LOCATION: The project is located in the City of North College Hill (entire length of Norman Avenue. Please see attached location map.
		PROJECT ZIP CODE: 45239
	В:	PROJECT COMPONENTS:  1.) Remove the existing pavement  2.) Remove unsuitable subgrade material  3.) Install vertical concrete curbs, type 6  4.) Replace failed storm sewer drainage system  5.) Reconstruct with asphaltic concrete  6.) Add underdrain system to alleviate subsurface water
	C:	PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS / CHARACTERISTICS: The project is approximately 600 LF. Width is approximately 25 LF.
	D:	DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY: Detail current service capacity vs. proposed service level.
	Road	or Bridge: Current ADT 160 Year: 2002 Projected ADT: Year:
	Wate rate o	r/Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household, attach current ordinance. Current Residential Rate: \$ Proposed Rate: \$
	Storn	nwater: Number of households served:
2.3	USE	FUL LIFE / COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: 20 Years.
	Attac confir	h Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with original seal and signature ming the project's useful life indicated above and estimated cost.

. . .

### 3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION:

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT \$ 225,000.00 TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION \$ .00

### 4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE: \*

		<b>BEGIN DATE</b>	END DATE
4.1	Engineering/Design:	10 / 01 / 07	05/31/08
4.2	Bid Advertisement and Award:	06 / 01 / 08	07/ 01 /08
4.3	Construction:	07/ 02 / 08	12 / 15 / 09
4.4	Right-of-Way/Land Acquisition:		<u> </u>

### 5.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION:

### 5.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE

OFFICER Daniel R. Brooks

TITLE Mayor

STREET 1704 W. Galbraith Road

CITY/ZIP North College Hill, OH 45239

PHONE 513-521-7413 FAX 513-931-1236

E-MAIL

### 5.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL

OFFICER James Satzger TITLE Finance Director

STREET 1704 W. Galbraith Road

CITY/ZIP North College Hills, OH 45239

PHONE 513-521-7413 FAX 513-931-1236

E-MAIL

William R. McCormick (JMA Consultants, Inc.) 5.3 PROJECT MANAGER

TITLE Project Manager

4357 Harrison Avenue STREET CITY/ZIP Cincinnati, Ohio 45211

PHONE 513-721-5500 FAX 513-721-0607

E-MAIL

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from the CEO

<sup>\*</sup> Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by the CEO of record and approved by the commission once the Project Agreement has been executed. The project schedule should be planned around receiving a Project Agreement on or about July 1st.

# 6.0 ATTACHMENTS/COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

Confirm in the blocks [ ] below that each item listed is attached.

- [X] A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.
- [X] A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.
- [ ] A cooperation agreement (if the project involves more than one
- [X] A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's <u>original seal or stamp and signature</u>, subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.
- [X] Projects which include new and expansion components <u>and</u> potentially affect productive farmland should include a statement evaluating the potential impact. If there is a potential impact, the Governor's Executive Order 98-VII and the OPWC Farmland Preservation Review Advisory apply.
- [X] Capital Improvements Report: (Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form)
- [X] Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your *local* District Public Works Integrating Committee.

# 7.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION:

The undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement on this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding of the project.

Certifying Representative (Type or Print Name and Title)

Signature/Date Signed

# **Engineer's Estimate**

# NORMAN AVENUE RECONSTRUCTION

# CITY OF NORTH COLLEGE HILL

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	daileisi 200 desi	PRICE	Orași judalia	COST
Clearing & Grubbing (tree removal)	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
Pavement Removed	1700	SY	\$	20.00	\$	34,000.00
Asphaltic Base	300	CY	\$	120.00	\$	36,000.00
Granular Base	300	CY	\$	50.00	\$	15,000.00
Asphalt Concrete	125	CY	\$	120.00	\$	15,000.00
Drive Aprons	300	SY	\$	45.00	\$	13,500.00
12" Storm	400	LF	\$	65.00	\$	26,000.00
Catch Basin, CB-3	4	EA	\$	2,000.00	\$	8,000.00
Curb, Type 6	1200	LF	\$	15.00	\$	18,000.00
Construction Layout	1	LS	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00
Seeding & Mulching	400	SY	\$	5.00	\$	2,000.00
Utility Adjustments	1	LS	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00
Underdrain	1	LS	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00
Maintain Traffic	1	LS	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00
Undercut Remove & Replace	1	LS	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500.00
TOTAL ESTIMATED COST					\$	225,000.00

I hereby certify this to be an accurate estimate of the proposed project. The useful life of this project

is 20 years.

Daniel W. Schoster, P.E. JMA Consultants, Inc.

1.74

Date




# STATUS OF FUNDS CERTIFICATION


The City of North College Hill will utilize approximately \$112,500 from its local budget as its participation for the Norman Avenue Reconstruction project.


James Satzger, Finance Director

Date Signed



# North College Hill Hamilton County, Ohio





Hamilton County Regional Planning Commission / 9-96

Requested by Safety Service Director

# **RESOLUTION 11-2007**

**AUTHORIZING FILING OF APPLICATION FOR 2008 STATE CAPITAL** IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (S.C.LP.) FUNDS AND EXECUTION OF PROJECT AGREEMENT WITH OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of North College Hill, State of Ohio, two-thirds of the members elected thereto concurring:

# Section 1

The City Council of the City of North College Hill hereby approves the filing of an application for 2008 S.C.I.P. Funds to the District Public Works Integrating Committee.

# Section 2

This resolution is declared an emergency measure necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety. The reason for the emergency is that immediate adoption of this resolution is necessary to ensure that prompt and timely applications are submitted for state funding of the City's proposed capital improvement projects. This resolution shall take effect and be in force upon its passage by Council and approval by the Mayor.

Passed this 4th day of Lea

Attest:

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Clerk of Council of the City of North College Hill, Ohio, hereby certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of Ordinance/Resolution No/-2007, duly passed by the Council of said City on 9-4-07

Clerk of Council

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT A TRUE COPY OF ORDINANCE OR RESOLUTION NO. 11-2007 HAS BEEN POSTED AT FIVE DONSPICUOUS PLACES IN THE CITY OF NORTH COLLEGE HILL, OHOMAS POLICIVS:

基际的证据中最高的证明。 第一次 Est of Andrew

THE WELL THE PARTY PRO TENETH PROPERTY THE

FEET TELEPOOLET


BER HARLINA ASE.

EARLY CLASS CONTRACTOR SANTAGORES SERVICE SALLY AND THE TON AVE.


Bings of GLIFTWARE.

Union number of his EACH FOR A PERIOD OF FELL TEST ( ) 分写UseMESOING















# NCH

# SUBMISSION CHECKLIST FOR STATE OF OHIO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT GRANT APPLICATIONS

This checklist must be submitted with the other items necessary for project eligibility and review. Upon district receipt of the full package, this checklist will be date stamped and a copy will be forwarded to the applying jurisdiction. Once the checklist has been stamped, the district will accept no additional information regarding the project.

The following items MUST be submitte Committee and Support Staff to consider	d (by the deadline for such submission) ryour application complete and eligible	in order for the District Two-Integrating for funding:
OPWC Application for Financial Assistance (State of OhioForm-Signed by C.E.O.)	Additional Support Information Form (District Two Form)	
Useful Life Certificate (Signed by P.E.)	✓ Status of Funds Certification (Jurisdiction Letterhead— Signed by C.F.O.)	
Project Pictures (Minimum of 4 - Mounted)		
		****
The following items <b>MUST</b> be submitte maximum points available for your appli	d with the application in order for the Dication (Specify type of submission):	istrict Two Support Staff to consider the
· Infrastructure Condition Data	Infrastructure Sa	fety Data
PICTURES	8,0	tuees
· Infrastructure Health Data	Jurisdiction User	Fee/Assessment Data
	·	
• Economic Growth Data	• Alleviate	: Traffic Hazards/LOS Data
Ban/Moratorium Data	• Users Co	ertification Data

The following items must be submitted by November 5, 2007:



# ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For Program Year 2008 (July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009), jurisdictions shall provide the following support information to help determine which projects will be funded. Information on this form must be accurate, and where called for, based on sound engineering principles. Documentation to substantiate the individual items, as noted, is required. The applicant should also use the rating system and its' addendum as a guide. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

IF YOU ARE APPLYING FOR A GRANT, WILL YOU BE WILLING TO ACCEPT A LOAN IF ASKED BY THE DISTRICT? \_\_\_\_\_YES \_\_\_X\_\_NO (ANSWER REQUIRED)

Note: Answering "Yes" will not increase your score and answering "NO" will not decrease your score.

# 1) What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired?

Give a statement of the nature of the deficient conditions of the present facility exclusive of capacity, serviceability, health and/or safety issues. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded. Use documentation (if possible) to support your statement. Documentation may include (but is not limited to): ODOT BR86 reports, pavement management condition reports, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included in the original application.

The existing pavement is 50 years old and the surface is 30 years old. Potholes, base failures, deteriorated curb are numerous throughout the entire length of this project. The storm sewers are inadequate and have failed. The condition of the existing pavement is such that the entire pavement needs to be reconstructed. The pavement is so dilapidated that water ponds and causes icing in the winter. The pavement has to be removed to remedy this situation.

# 2) How important is the project to the safety of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

Give a statement of the projects effect on the safety of the service area. The design of the project is intended to reduce existing accident rate, promote safer conditions, and reduce the danger of risk, liability or injury. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, and highway capacity.) Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must demonstrate the type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of correction.

This project is very important to the safety of the public who travel this road as evidenced by the attached pictures. Ponding and icing occurs every winter on this road. Water cannot get off the street causing potential traffic hazards. By adding storm sewers, underdrains and reconstructing the payement, we will eliminate this problem.

# 3) How important is the project to the health of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area?

Give a statement of the projects effect on the health of the service area. The design of the project will improve the overall condition of the facility so as to reduce or eliminate potential for disease, or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area. (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project by improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities, replacing lead jointed water lines, etc.).

	cific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data. The applicant must e type of problems that exist, the frequency and severity of the problems and the method of No Impact
	TVO INFPACE
4) Does the jurisdiction	project help meet the infrastructure repair and replacement needs of the applying on?
awarded on the	n must_submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be basis of most to least importance.  Emerson Avenue Reconstruction
Priority 2	Norman Avenue Reconstruction
Priority 3	Goodman Avenue Reconstruction
Priority 4	Pinoak Avenue Reconstruction
Priority 5	Bising Avenue Reconstruction
(example, rates	for water or sewer, frontage assessments, etc.).  No participation - Zero (0) %
Give a statement	Growth — How will the completed project enhance economic growth  nt of the projects effect on the economic growth of the service area (be specific).
N/A	
7) Matching	Funds - LOCAL
	n regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (b) of the Ohio Association's "Application For Financial Assistance" form.
8) Matching	Funds - <u>OTHER</u>
Public Works . matching fund Hamilton Cou	on regarding local matching funds is to be filed by the applicant in Section 1.2 (c) of the Ohio Association's "Application For Financial Assistance" form. If MRF funds are being used for s, the MRF application must have been filed by August 31, 2007 for this project with the matching the source (s).  In funding is utilized for matching funds for this project.

9) Will the project alleviate serious traffic proble needs of the district?	ms or h	azards (	or respond	l to the i	future leve	el of service
Describe how the proposed project will alleviate ser	ious tra	fic prob	lems or ha	nzards (b	e specific	).
For roadway betterment projects, provide the existing the methodology outlined within AASHTO'S "Geo Highway Capacity Manual.						
Existing LOS Propose	ed LOS		<del></del>			
If the proposed design year LOS is not "C" or better, e	xplain v	vhy LOS	"C" canno	ot be ach	ieved.	
N/A						
	_					
10) If SCIP/LTIP funds were granted, when would	d the co	structi	on contrac	et be awa	arded?	
If SCIP/LTIP funds are awarded, how soon after rece for July 1 of the year following the deadline for applic Staff will review status reports of previous projects project schedule.	ations) v	vould th	e project b	e under (	contract?	The Support
Number of months 2						
a.) Are preliminary plans or engineering completed?	Yes_	X	No		N/A _	
b.) Are detailed construction plans completed?	Yes_		No	X	N/A _	
c.) Are all utility coordination's completed?	Yes_		No	X	N/A _	
d.) Are all right-of-way and easements acquired (if app	plicable)	?				
70 1	Yes_		No		N/A _	X
If no, how many parcels needed for project?		— Of th	iese, how i			
					manent _	
For any parcels not yet acquired, explain the	status of	the RO	W acquisit	ion proc	ess for this	project.
						• •
			·	•		
e.) Give an estimate of time needed to complete any ite	em abov	e not ye	t complete	d	4	Months.

11) Does the infrastructure have	e regional impact?
expanded.	g the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or
Ims will affect the resid	ents of the City of North College Hill
12) What is the overall economic	c health of the jurisdiction?
The District 2 Integrating Committ a jurisdiction may periodically be a	ee predetermines the jurisdiction's economic health. The economic health of idjusted when census and other budgetary data are updated.
13) Has any formal action by complete ban of the usage or	a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure?
involved infrastructure? Typical limitations on issuance of building	een taken which resulted in a ban of the use of or expansion of use for the examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or permits, etc. The ban must have been caused by a structural or operational bmission of a copy of the approved legislation would be helpful.
	project is completed? Yes No N/A _x
14) What is the total number project?	of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed
submit documentation substantiatir closed, use documented traffic cou and other related facilities, multiply	rrent Average Daily Traffic (ADT) by 1.20. For inclusion of public transit, ag the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially onts prior to the restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, the number of households in the service area by 4. User information must refessional engineer or the jurisdictions' C.E.O.
Traffic: ADT 160 X	1.20 = <b>192</b>
	C 4.00 = Users
15) Has the jurisdiction enacted dedicated tax for the pertine	the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or ent infrastructure?
The applying jurisdiction shall list infrastructure being applied for. (Che	what type of fees, levies or taxes they have dedicated toward the type of eck all that apply)
Optional \$5.00 License Tax X	
Infrastructure Levy X	Specify type street levy
	Specify type
Dedicated Tax	Specify type
Other Fee, Levy or Tax	Specify type

# SCIP/LTIP PROGRAM ROUND 22 - PROGRAM YEAR 2008 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA JULY 1, 2008 TO JUNE 30, 2009

NAME OF APPLICANT: ABSTAL COLLEGE H14	
NAME OF PROJECT: ARABAI AUE	
RATING TEAM:	

# General Statement for Rating Criteria

Points awarded for all items will be based on engineering experience, field verification, application information and other information supplied by the applying agency, which is deemed to be relevant by the Support Staff. The examples listed in this addendum are not a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to a given project.

# CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE RATING

What is the pl	nysical condition of the existing infrastructure that is to be replaced or repaired?	
25 - Failed	NO DATA ON CONDITION OF STORM	Appeal Score

SEWER SYSTEM BENJE REPLACED

POSSIBLE 25 POINTS HAD THAT BE DOCUMENTED

20 - Very Poor

17 - Poor

15 - Moderately Poor

10 - Moderately Fair

5 - Fair Condition

0 - Good or Better

## Criterion 1 - Condition

Condition of the particular infrastructure to be repaired, reconstructed or replaced shall be a measure of the degree of reduction in condition from its original state. Historic pavement management data based on ASTM D6433-99 rating system may be submitted as documentation. Capacity, serviceability, safety and health shall not be considered in this criterion. Any documentation the Applicant wishes to be considered must be included in the application package.

# **Definitions:**

Failed Condition - requires complete reconstruction where no part of the existing facility is salvageable. (E.g. Roads: complete reconstruction of roadway, curbs and base; Bridges: complete removal and replacement of bridge; Underground: removal and replacement of an underground drainage or water system.

<u>Critical Condition</u> - requires partial reconstruction to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: reconstruction of roadway/curbs can be saved; Bridges: removal and replacement of bridge with abutment modification; Underground: removal and replacement of part of an underground drainage or water system.

<u>Very Poor Condition</u> - requires extensive rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: extensive full depth, partial depth and curb repair of a roadway with a structural overlay; Bridges: superstructure replacement; Underground: repair of joints and/or replacement of pipe sections.

<u>Poor Condition</u> - requires standard rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: moderate full depth, partial depth and curb repair to a roadway with no structural overlay needed or structural overlay with minor repairs to a roadway needed; Bridges: extensive patching of substructure and replacement of deck; Underground: insituform or other in ground repairs.

Moderately Poor Condition - requires minor rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: minor full depth, partial depth or curb repairs to a roadway with either a thin overlay or no overlay needed; Bridges: major structural patching and/or major deck repair.

Moderately Fair Condition - requires extensive maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: thin or no overlay with extensive crack sealing, minor partial depth and/or slurry or rejuvenation; Bridges: minor structural patching, deck repair, erosion control.)

Fair Condition - requires routine maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: slurry seal, rejuvenation or routine crack sealing to the roadway; Bridges: minor structural patching.)

Good or Better Condition - little to no maintenance required to maintain integrity.

Note: If the infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will NOT be considered for SCIP/LTIP funding unless it is an expansion project that will improve serviceability.

25 - Highly significant importance	Appeal Score
20 - Considerably significant importance	
15 - Moderate importance 10 - Minimal importance	
5 – Poorly documented importance	
O - No measurable impact	
Criterion 2 – Safety	
The applying agency shall include in its application the type frequency, and severity of the safe exists and how the intended project would improve the situation. For example, have there bee the problems cited? Have they involved injuries or fatalities? In the case of water systems, are the case of water lines, is the present capacity inadequate to provide volumes or pressure for ad specific documentation is required. Mentioned problems, which are poorly documented, shall 5 points.	en vehicular accidents attributable to existing hydrants non-functional? In equate fire protection? In all cases.
Note: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this cate are NOT intended to be exclusive.	gory apply. Examples given above
- The Committee of the contract of the definition the Chille and the Chillen of the Inciries and/o	r carvica araa?
How important is the project to the health of the Public and the citizens of the District and/o  25 - Highly significant importance  20 - Considerably significant importance  15 - Moderate importance  10 - Minimal importance  5 - Poorly documented importance  O- No measurable impact	Appeal Score
<ul> <li>25 - Highly significant importance</li> <li>20 - Considerably significant importance</li> <li>15 - Moderate importance</li> <li>10 - Minimal importance</li> <li>5 - Poorly documented importance</li> </ul>	th problem that would be eliminated act, or would routine maintenance be applaints if any are recorded? In the tow would improved sanitary sewers
25 - Highly significant importance 20 - Considerably significant importance 15 - Moderate importance 10 - Minimal importance 5 - Poorly documented importance  5 - Poorly documented importance  Criterion 3 - Health The applying agency shall include in its application the type, frequency, and severity of the healt or reduced by the intended project. For example, can the problem be eliminated only by the projes satisfactory? If basement flooding has occurred, was it storm water or sanitary flow? What concase of underground improvements, how will they improve health if they are storm sewers? However, and the property of the health of the project of underground improvements, how will they improve health if they are storm sewers? However, and the project of underground improvements, how will they improve health if they are storm sewers? However, and the project of the p	Appeal Score  th problem that would be eliminated ect, or would routine maintenance be applaints if any are recorded? In the low would improved sanitary sewers entioned problems, which are poorly
25 - Highly significant importance 20 - Considerably significant importance 15 - Moderate importance 10 - Minimal importance 5 - Poorly documented importance 5 - Poorly documented importance Criterion 3 - Health The applying agency shall include in its application the type, frequency, and severity of the healt or reduced by the intended project. For example, can the problem be eliminated only by the proje satisfactory? If basement flooding has occurred, was it storm water or sanitary flow? What concase of underground improvements, how will they improve health if they are storm sewers? Ho improve health or reduce health risk? In all cases, quantified documentation is required. Me documented, shall generally will not receive more than 5 points.  Nate: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category.	Appeal Score  th problem that would be eliminated act, or would routine maintenance be applaints if any are recorded? In the low would improved sanitary sewers entioned problems, which are poorly by apply. Examples given above agency?

# Criterion 4 - Jurisdiction's Priority Listing

5 - Fifth priority project or lower

15 -Third priority project 10 - Fourth priority project

The applying agency <u>must</u> submit a listing in priority order of the projects for which it is applying. Points will be awarded on the basis of most to least importance. The form is included in the Additional Support Information.

To what extent will a user fee funded agency be participating in the fu	inding of the project?
10/- Less than 10%	
<sup>1</sup> 9 – 10% to 19.99%	
8 – 20% to 29.99%	Appeal Score
7 – 30% to 39.99%	••
6 – 40% to 49.99%	
5 – 50% to 59.99%	
4 – 60% to 69.99%	
3 – 70% to 79.99%	
2 - 80% to 89.99%	
1 – 90% to 95%	
0 – Above 95%	

# Criterion 5 - User Fee-funded Agency Participation

To what extent will a user fee funded agency be participating in the funding of the project? (Example: rates for water or sewer, frontage assessments, etc.). The applying agency must submit documentation.

Economic Growth - How the completed project will enhance economic growth (See definitions).

10 – The project will directly secure new employment	Appeal Score
5 – The project will permit more development	• •
1) The project will not impact development	

### Criterion 6 - Economic Growth

Will the completed project enhance economic growth and/or development in the service area?

## **Definitions:**

Secure new employment: The project as designed will secure development/employers, which will immediately add new permanent employees to the jurisdiction. The applying agency must submit details.

Permit more development: The project as designed will permit additional business development/employment. The applying agency must supply details.

The project will not impact development: The project will have no impact on business development.

Note: Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this category apply.

# Matching Funds - LOCAL

10 - This project is a loan or credit enhancement

10-50% or higher

8 – 40% to 49.99%

List total percentage of "Local" funds %

6-30% to 39.99%

4-20% to 29.99%

2-10% to 19.99%

0 - Less than 10%

# Criterion 7 - Matching Funds - Local

The percentage of matching funds which come directly from the budget of the applying agency. Ten points shall be awarded if a loan request is at least 50% of the total project cost. (If the applying agency is not a user fee funded agency, any funds to be provided by a user fee generating agency will be considered "Matching Funds - Other").

matering states 111111111111111111111111111111111111	
10 - 50% or higher	List below each funding source and percentage
8 – 40% to 49.99%	
6 – 30% to 39.99%	%
4 – 20% to 29.99%	%
2 – 10% to 19.99%	%
1 – 1% to 9.99%	%
1 Less than 1%	

# Criterion 8 - Matching Funds - Other

Matching Funds - OTHER

The percentage of matching funds that come from funding sources other than those mentioned in Criterion 7. A letter from the outside funding agency stating their financial participation in the project and the amount of funding is required to receive points. For MRF, a copy of the current application form filed with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office meets the requirement.

List total percentage of "Other" funds

0/0

Will the project alleviate serious capacity problems or hazards or respond to the future level of service needs of the district?

10 - Project design is for future demand.	Appeal Score
8 - Project design is for partial future demand.	
6 - Project design is for current demand.	
4 - Project design is for minimal increase in capacity.	
Project design is for no increase in capacity.	

# Criterion 9 - Alleviate Capacity Problems

The applying agency shall provide a narrative, along with pertinent support documentation, which describe the existing deficiencies and showing how congestion will be reduced or eliminated and how service will be improved to meet the needs of any expected growth or development. A formal capacity analysis accompanying the application would be beneficial. Projected traffic or demand should be calculated as follows:

## Formula:

Existing users x design year factor = projected users

Design Year	Design year factor		
-	Urban	Suburban	Rural
20	1.40	1.70	1.60
10	1.20	1.35	1.30

# **Definitions:**

<u>Future demand</u> – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for twenty-year projected demand or fully developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

<u>Partial future demand</u> – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service for ten-year projected demand or partially developed area conditions. Justification must be supplied if the area is already largely developed or undevelopable and thus the projection factors used deviate from the above table.

<u>Current demand</u> – Project will eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide sufficient capacity or service only for existing demand and conditions.

<u>Minimal increase</u> – Project will reduce but not eliminate existing congestion or deficiencies and will provide a minimal but less than sufficient increase in existing capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

<u>No increase</u> – Project will have no effect on existing congestion or deficiencies and provide no increase in capacity or service for existing demand and conditions.

10) Readiness to Proceed - If SCIP/LTIP funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded?

[3]- Will be under contract by December 31, 2008 and no delinquent projects in Rounds 19 & 20 3 - Will be under contract by March 31, 2009 and/or one delinquent project in Rounds 19 & 20

0 - Will not be under contract by March 31, 2009 and/or more than one delinquent project in Rounds 19 & 20

### Criterion 10 - Readiness to Proceed

The Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience and status of design plans. A project is considered delinquent when it has not received a notice to proceed within the time stated on the original application and no time extension has been granted by the OPWC. An applying agency receiving approval for a project and subsequently canceling the same after the bid date on the application will receive zero (0) points under this round and the following round.

Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider origination and destination of traffic, functional classifications, size of service area, and number of jurisdictions served, etc.

10 - Major Impact

8 - Significant Impact

6 – Moderate Impact

4 - Minor Impact

2 Minimal or No Impact

Appeal Score

# Criterion 11 - Regional Impact

The regional significance of the infrastructure that is being repaired or replaced.

Definitions:

Major Impact – Roads: Major Arterial: A direct connector to an Interstate Highway; Arterials are intended to provide a greater degree of mobility rather than land access. Arterials generally convey large traffic volumes for distances greater than one mile. A major arterial is a highway that is of regional importance and is intended to serve beyond the county. It may connect urban centers with one another and/or with outlying communities and employment or shopping centers. A major arterial is intended primarily to serve through traffic.

Significant Impact – Roads: Minor Arterial: A roadway, also serving through traffic, that is similar in function to a major arterial, but operates with lower traffic volumes, serves trips of shorter distances (but still greater than one mile), and may provide a higher degree of property access than do major arterials.

Moderate Impact – Roads: Major Collector: A roadway that provides for traffic movement between local roads/streets and arterials or community-wide activity centers and carries moderate traffic volumes over moderate distances (generally less than one mile). Major collectors may also provide direct access to abutting properties, such as regional shopping centers, large industrial parks, major subdivisions and community-wide recreational facilities, but typically not individual residences. Most major collectors are also county roads and are therefore through streets.

Minor Impact – Roads: Minor Collector: A roadway similar in functions to a major collector but which carries lower traffic volumes over shorter distances and has a higher degree of property access. Minor collectors may serve as main circulation streets within large, residential neighborhoods. Most minor collectors are also township roads and streets and may, or may not, be through streets.

Minimal or No Impact. - Roads: Local: A roadway that is primarily intended to provide access to abutting properties. It tends to accommodate lower traffic volumes, serves short trips (generally within neighborhoods), and provides connections preferably only to collector streets rather than arterials.

	on 15 – Fees, Levies, Etc.	
C	5—Two or more of the above 3 - One of the above 0 - None of the above	Appeal Score
5)	Has the applying agency enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a use pertinent infrastructure? (Provide documentation of which fees have been enacted.)	ser fee, or dedicated tax for the
	6-8,000-12,000 to 20,999 11,999 4-4,000-3,000 to 11,999 7,999  Criterion 14 - Users The applying agency shall provide documentation. A registered professional engineer or the ap the appropriate documentation. Documentation may include current traffic counts, househ measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for the roads and bridges figures are provided.	olds served, when converted to a
4)	What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed proposed propo	oroject? Appeal Score
	Criterion 13 - Ban  The applying agency shall provide documentation to show that a facility ban or moratorium has moratorium must have been caused by a structural or operational problem. Points will only be a project will cause the ban to be lifted.	
	8 – 80% reduction in legal load or 4-wheeled vehicles only 7 – Moratorium on future development, not functioning for current demand 6 – 60% reduction in legal load 5 - Moratorium on future development, functioning for current demand 4 – 40% reduction in legal load 2 – 20% reduction in legal load  0 – Less than 20% reduction in legal load	
	expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure?  10 - Complete ban, facility closed	Appeal Score
3)	Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial o	r complete ban of the usage or
	The District 2 Integrating Committee predetermines the applying agency's economic health. The may periodically be adjusted when census and other budgetary data are updated.	economic health of a jurisdiction

12)

Points
8 Points
6 Points
4 Points
2 Points

Criterion 12 - Economic Health

What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?